UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,207	10/531,207 02/24/2006 Tetsuhiro Ishikawa		10517-271	7847
23838 KENYON & K	7590 05/12/200 ENYON LLP	EXAMINER		
1500 K STREE	T N.W.	BOBISH, CHRISTOPHER S		
SUITE 700 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3746	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/12/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/531,207	ISHIKAWA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
CHRISTOPHER BOBISH	3746		

3	Examine	Aironni				
	CHRISTOPHER BOBISH	3746				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>05 May 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance w	Appeal. To avoid abar ., or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
periods: a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount of the corresponding a	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
NOTICE OF APPEAL						
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
	t waisants the data of filing a buist	وط لومسوم وطعوه النب				
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor 			cause			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		,,				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	er form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying tl	ne issues for			
appeal; and/or		ata di alabasa				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a converge to NOTE: The amendments to claim 1 require further the additional claim language has already been considered amendments was considered only as it pertains to arguments against said references. As the language the said references are converged to the said references.	search and consideration. In responsidered, the examiner notes that noted that noted that noted that noted the languation of the languation of the previously cited references (sponsor that not present in the original cla	onse to the applicant's owhere in the final re ge added in the after ecifically Takabayash	iection is it -final i) and the			
the subsequent actions. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 4 4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	* **	mnliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		inplication anionalitie (1 1 0 2 0 2 1).			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	before or on the date of filing a No	tice of Appeal will not	be entered			
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fail e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

/Devon C Kramer/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3746 /Christopher Bobish/ Examiner, Art Unit 3746 Application No.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20090507